


Machine Learning Algorithms

and the BioMaLL library


CBB 231 / COMPSCI 261

B. Majoros



BioMaLL

Bioinformatics Machine Learning Library



Part I

Overview

Current Contents

Classification methods

K-Nearest Neighbors
 w/Mahalanobis Distance
Naive Bayes
Linear Discriminant Analysis
Entropy-based Decision Trees
Feedforward Neural Networks
Multivariate Regression
Genetic Programming
Bayesian Networks
Logistic Regression
Simulated Annealing

Feature selection methods

F-ratio
PCA
LDA

Sequence parsing methods

Hidden Markov Models

Phylogenetic Inference

UPGMA
Neighbor-Joining
Maximum Parsimony
Felsenstein's Algorithm

(grey = coming soon)

Compiling and Installing BioMaLL

BioMaLL can be downloaded on the internet at:

<http://www.geneprediction.org/biomall/index.html>

Unpack the “tarball” via the commands:

```
gunzip biomall.tar.gz
```

```
tar xvf biomall.tar
```

In the BioMaLL directory, enter the command

```
make biomall
```

to compile the library.

Running BioMaLL

All BioMaLL programs are executed via the UNIX command-line.

The correct usage of each program can be determined by running the program with no parameters. The program will print out a *usage statement*:

```
[bmajoros $] apply-bayes-net  
apply-bayes-net <*.model> <*.names> <*.data> <outfile>
```

i.e., this program requires four parameters: a model file, a names file, a data file, and the name of a file where the output should be stored.

Directory Structure

BioMaLL

common = source code common to all classifiers

BOOM* = container class library (Bioinformatics Object-Oriented Modules)

annealing = simulated annealing

bayes = naive Bayes classifier

bayes-net = Bayesian networks

ET = entropy-based decision trees

f-ratio = feature selection via F-ratio

GP = genetic programming

knn = K-nearest neighbors classifier

LDA = Fisher's linear discriminant analysis

logistic = logistic regression

neural = feedforward neural network classifier

PCA = principal components analysis


progen = synthetic problem generator

regress = multivariate linear regression classifier

each type of classifier
is in a separate
subdirectory

**BOOM is built on the standard template library (STL), the gnu scientific library (GSL), and the template numerical toolkit (TNT)*

Applying Algorithm X



File Formats

The *.names file specifies the attributes (and their data types) of the objects to be classified, and the number of categories into which they can be classified:

```
2 categories
orf_length:      continuous
signal1_score:   continuous
signal2_score:   continuous
hexamer_score:   continuous
```

The possible data types are `continuous` (meaning numerical) and `discrete` (meaning categorical). Categorical attributes such as color must be encoded into integer values (i.e., representing red white and blue as 1 2 and 3).

The *.data (for training) and *.test (for accuracy evaluation) files contain one line per object to be classified, with attribute values separate by whitespace; attributes must be in the same order as given in the *.names file:

```
-7.2200      -46.4053      -81.4875      15.5713      1
-7.0832      -56.6218      -65.6119      -15.9614     0
-7.1820      -56.4384      -65.6939      -5.89178     0
...          ...          ...          ...          ...
```

The last column indicates the correct category of the object. Categories must be numbered starting at zero.

Accuracy Evaluation

The `evaluate` program in the root BioMaLL directory compares a set of predictions to a `*.test` file and reports the accuracy:

```
[bmajoros $] apply-bayes 1.model 1.names 1.test 1.out
[bmajoros $] ../evaluate
evaluate <predictions> <test-cases>
[bmajoros $] ../evaluate 1.out 1.test
84% accuracy
```

A *baseline accuracy* can be assessed using the `baseline` program from the root BioMaLL directory:

```
[bmajoros $] ../baseline 1.data 1.test
50 %      [UNIFORM RANDOM GUESSING]
52.14 %   [ALWAYS PREDICT CLASS=0]
50.09 %   [RANDOM GUESSING BY TRAINING DISTRIBUTION]
```

Part II

Algorithm Descriptions and Examples

Naïve Bayes Classification

Classify an object (=feature vector) \mathbf{X} into the most probable category Y_i according to $P(Y_i|\mathbf{X})$.

Use Bayes' Theorem to invert $P(Y_i|\mathbf{X})$:

$$P(Y_i | X) = \frac{P(X | Y_i)P(Y_i)}{\sum_j P(X | Y_j)P(Y_j)}$$

Since the denominator is invariant w.r.t. Y_i , it suffices to compute:

$$Y^* = \arg \max_{Y_i} P(X | Y_i)P(Y_i)$$

$P(Y)$ is trivial (just count training cases), so we are left with:

$$P(X|Y_i) \approx P(X_1=x_1|Y_i) \cdot P(X_2=x_2|Y_i) \cdot \dots \cdot P(X_n=x_n|Y_i),$$

assuming conditional independence (the “naive Bayes” assumption).

Example: Training and Applying a Naive Bayes Classifier

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> cat arab1.names
```

2 categories

length_prob: continuous

signal1_score: continuous

signal2_score: continuous

hexamer_score: continuous

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> less arab1.data
```

-7.22008	-46.4053	-81.4875	15.5713	1
-7.08321	-56.6218	-65.6119	-15.9614	0
-6.1875	-40.117	-80.3785	-13.286	0
-7.18202	-56.4384	-65.6939	-5.89178	0

...etc...

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> less arab1.test
```

-4.9694	-79.1143	-52.7902	-9.49414	1
-5.21918	-79.577	-55.1701	4.30175	1
-6.1543	-50.455	-62.5431	-80.2211	0
-6.25661	-56.3978	-72.3367	12.7841	0

...etc...

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> train-bayes arab1.data arab1.names arab1.bayes 10
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> apply-bayes arab1.bayes arab1.names arab1.test arab1.predictions
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test
```

85.71 %

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes> ../baseline arab1.data arab1.test
```

50 % [UNIFORM RANDOM GUESSING]

47.85 % [ALWAYS PREDICT CLASS=1]

49.98 % [RANDOM GUESSING BY TRAINING DISTRIBUTION]


Bayes Network Classification

Just like Naive Bayes, except that we allow some attributes to be dependent on other attributes:

$$P(X|Y_i) \approx P(X_1=x_1|X_{parent(1)}, Y_i) \cdot P(X_2=x_2|X_{parent(2)}, Y_i) \cdot \dots \cdot P(X_n=x_n|Y_i),$$

and assume conditional independence of all others. One option for building the dependence network is to compute all pairwise χ^2 independence statistics, and then build a *maximal spanning tree* (MST) using these χ^2 values as edge weights:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{(o - e)^2}{e} \quad o_{i,j} = M_{i,j}$$
$$e_{i,j} = \frac{\left(\sum_k M_{k,j} \right) \left(\sum_k M_{i,k} \right)}{\sum_h \sum_k M_{h,k}}$$



Example: Training and Applying a Bayes Network Classifier

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes-net> cat arab1.names
```

2 categories

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes-net> ./train-bayes-net arab1.names arab1.data arab1.bn 8
```

Accuracy on the training set: 87%

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes-net> apply-bayes-net arab1.bn arab1.names arab1.test  
arab1.predictions
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/bayes-net> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test
```

88.71 %

K-Nearest Neighbors Classification

Given object X , find the K most similar training examples and classify X into the most common category Y among the K neighbors.

Compute object similarity using Euclidean distance:

$$d(X_i, X_j) = \sqrt{\sum_i (X_i - X_j)^2}$$

Or use Mahalanobis distance to control for correlations:

$$D = \sqrt{(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)^T V^{-1} (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)}$$

V^{-1} = inverse of covariance matrix :

$$V = [c_{jk}]$$

$$c_{jk} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)(x_{ik} - \bar{x}_k)}{n-1}$$

Example: Training and Applying K-Nearest-Neighbors

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/knn> knn
```

```
knn [-wsm] <K> <names-file> <train-file> <test-file> <out-file>  
-w : weight variables by F ratio (between-group MS/within-group MS)  
-s : stepwise - drop variables with low F ratio  
-m : mahalanobis - account for multicollinearity
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/knn> knn 3 arab1.names arab1.data arab1.test  
ar a b1.predictions
```

```
5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 45% 50% 55% 60% 65% 70% 75% 80%  
85% 90% 95% 0.475333 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/knn> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test  
92 %
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/knn> knn -m 3 arab1.names arab1.data  
arab1.test ara b1.predictions
```

```
5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 45% 50% 55% 60% 65% 70% 75% 80%  
85% 90% 95% 3.8644 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/knn> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test  
93 %
```

Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis

Find linear combination(s) of variables that maximize F-ratio:

$$F = MS_{between} / MS_{within} = \text{largest eigenvalue of } \mathbf{B} \text{ (see below)}$$

and take coefficients from the corresponding eigenvector.

B & **W** = matrices of sums of squares & cross-products (B=“between groups,” W=“within groups”)

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{W} \quad \mathbf{T} = [t_{rc}] \quad \mathbf{W} = [w_{rc}]$$

$$t_{rc} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (x_{ijr} - \bar{x}_r)(x_{ijc} - \bar{x}_c)$$

$$w_{rc} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (x_{ijr} - \bar{x}_{jr})(x_{ijc} - \bar{x}_{jc})$$

Apply significant eigenvectors as linear combinations, collect into a vector, and use nearest-centroid to classify test case.

Example: Training and Applying LDA


```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/LDA> train-lda -d 2 arab1.data arab1.names  
arab 1.lda
```

```
rounded eigenvalues: 0.84, 0, 0, 0  
using 1 discriminant function  
accuracy on training set: 85
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/LDA> apply-lda arab1.lda arab1.names  
arab1.test arab1.predictions
```


```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/LDA> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test  
84.57 %
```

Distinguishing Three Species of Iris by LDA



Logistic Regression

$$P_X = P(X \in S) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(a+b_1x_1+b_2x_2+\dots+b_nx_n)}}$$



Maximize log-likelihood L of training data:

$$L = \sum_{X \in S} \ln P_X + \sum_{X \notin S} \ln(1 - P_X)$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial b_i} = \frac{x_i \lambda}{(1 + \lambda)^2} \quad \lambda = e^{-a-b_1x_1-\dots-b_nx_n}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_i} = \sum_{X \in S} \frac{x_i \lambda}{(1 + \lambda)^2 P_X} - \sum_{X \notin S} \frac{x_i \lambda}{(1 + \lambda)^2 (1 - P_X)}$$

($\partial L / \partial a$ can be obtained by setting $x_i=1$)

*rounding errors in the computer can cause division by zero when P_X approaches 0 or 1

Example: Classification using Logistic Regression

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/logistic> train-logistic
```

```
train-logistic [options] <*.names> <*.data> <outfile>
```

where:

- i <N> : use N iterations of gradient ascent (default 50)
- r <N> : randomly restart N-1 times and take the best (def 5)
- t <T> : quit when error<T (threshold) (default 0.0001)
- s <s> : use stepsize s for the gradient ascent (default 0.1)
- a <G> : use optimization algorithm G (default BFGS)
G can be: BFGS, STEEPEST_DESCENT, FLETCHER_REEVES,
POLAK_RIBIERE, SIMPLEX

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/logistic> train-logistic arab1.names arab1.data  
arab1.model
```

88% accuracy on training set

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/logistic> apply-logistic arab1.names  
arab1.model arab1.test arab1.predictions
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/logistic> ../evaluate arab1.predictions  
arab1.test
```

87.71 %


Multivariate Linear Regression Classification

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

Example: Multivariate Linear Regression for Classification


```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/regress> regress arab1  
discriminator: 0.118892*x0 + -0.00944905*x1 +  
0.0044891*x2 + 0.00 475142*x3 + 0.986058  
Accuracy on training set: 85.4%  
Accuracy on test set: 84.4286%
```

Entropy-Based Decision Trees (ID3, C4.5)



Induction of Decision Trees

Grow the tree downward from the root. At each node, select the predicate that maximally reduces entropy (uncertainty):



$$\Delta H = H_{before} - (H_{after,NO} + H_{after,YES})/2 \quad (\text{actually uses a weighted average})$$

Best predicate = $\text{argmax}_k(\Delta H_k)$.

Can also use *gain ratio*, but I found no difference in performance.

Example: Training and Applying an Entropy-based Decision Tree

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> build-tree arab1.data arab1.names arab1.tree
```

```
1.97269 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> apply-tree arab1.tree arab1.names
```

```
arab1.test arab1.predictions
```

```
0.045388 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test
```

```
88.71 %
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> prune-by-index -c arab1.tree x 0 arab1.names
```

```
Prune index must be between 0 and 66
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> prune-by-index arab1.tree arab1.pruned 45
```

```
arab1.names
```

```
pruning with threshold 45 out of 67 (0.851393)
```

```
0.00304 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/ET> print-tree arab1.pruned arab1.names
```

```
signal2_score<=-58.5959:
```

```
|   hexamer_score<=1.51366:
```

```
|   |   category=0
```

```
|   |   signal2_score<=-74.594:
```

```
|   |   |   category=1
```

```
|   |   |   hexamer_score<=38.7892:
```


```
|   |   |   |   category=0
```

```
|   |   |   |   category=1
```

```
|   category=1
```

Backpropagation Neural Networks

Largest output = predicted category




Inputs = normalized attributes [0,1]

Transfer function: $1/(1+e^{-\Sigma \text{ inputs}})$

Train the network by gradient descent / hill-climbing.

Derivation of Backprop

$$\Delta w_{jk} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{jk}}$$




For output layer:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\overset{\text{expected}}{t_k} - \overset{\text{observed}}{o_k})^2$$

$$o_k = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-in_k}}$$

$$in_k = \sum_j w_{jk} o_j$$



$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{jk}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial o_k} \frac{\partial o_k}{\partial in_k} \frac{\partial in_k}{\partial w_{jk}} = -(t_k - o_k) o_k (1 - o_k) o_j$$

Derivation, cont.

For middle layer:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}} &= \sum_k \frac{\partial E}{\partial o_k} \frac{\partial o_k}{\partial in_k} \frac{\partial in_k}{\partial o_j} \frac{\partial o_j}{\partial in_j} \frac{\partial in_j}{\partial w_{ij}} \\ &= \sum_k -(t_k - o_k) o_k (1 - o_k) w_{jk} o_j (1 - o_j) o_i \end{aligned}$$

More generally for any layer containing neuron j ,

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \delta_j o_i, \text{ where}$$

$$\delta_j = (t_j - o_j) o_j (1 - o_j) \quad \text{for the output layer}$$

$$\delta_j = o_j (1 - o_j) \sum_{j \rightarrow k} w_{jk} \delta_k \quad \text{for any hidden layer}$$

(recursively follows all paths from w_{ij} to o_k)

Example: Training and Applying a Neural Network

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/neural> cat arab1.config
```

```
maxIterations=200  
learningRate=0.025  
numLayers=1  
neuronsPerLayer=1  
networkFilename=none  
min-adj=1  
max-adj=1  
randomize=1  
noise-factor=0.99
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/neural> train-net arab1.data arab1.names arab  
1.config arab1.net  
3.989 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/neural> net-classify arab1.net arab1.test arab  
1.names arab1.predictions  
0.027137 sec
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/neural> ../evaluate arab1.predictions arab1.test  
92 %
```


Genetic Algorithms

- Start with a randomly-generated population of domain objects
- Apply mutation operators (find neighbors in topological space)
- Probabilistically eliminate low-quality solutions
- Repeat until convergence

random population \Longrightarrow p' \Longrightarrow p'' \Longrightarrow ... \Longrightarrow final population


-----> higher average fitness

Evolutionary Algorithms




Genetic Programming

“the programming of computers by means of natural selection”



Average Fitness Over Time



Example: Evolving a Classifier using Genetic Programming

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/GP> gp arab1
```

```
generation 0: accuracy(0.173-0.814 av=0.503) av height=0  
generation 1: accuracy(0.17-0.815 av=0.537) av height=1.37  
generation 2: accuracy(0.182-0.817 av=0.571) av height=1.56  
...etc...  
generation 298: accuracy(0.19-0.875 av=0.74) av height=2.61  
generation 299: accuracy(0.19-0.875 av=0.733) av height=2.61  
3.07959 min  
accuracy of winner on test set: 86.1%
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/GP> cat arab1.gp.tree
```


```
double mainFunction() { return if(length>sig2) then hex+length  
else 1.5685/(-9.12556>sig1)); }
```

[eaglet] BioMaLL/GP> cat arab1.gp

```
max-generations      = 300
population-size       = 1000
log-file             = /dev/null
crossover             = 0.2
point-mutation        = 0.2
subtree-mutation      = 0.2
immigration           = 0.1
cloning              = 0.3
percent-training-set  = 0.9
tournament-selection  = 0
tournament-size       = 0
min-const             = -20
max-const            = 2
initial-tree-height   = 3
max-tree-height       = 3
seed                 = 0
max-adf-call          = 10
adf-arities           = 0
entry-point-arities   = 0
result-producing-branch = 0
nonterminals          = +,-,*,/,if,<, >
terminals             = const,var
```

Simulated Annealing

Start with a random element.



* p is inversely proportional to the loss in quality, and it decreases over time, as we approach convergence. It is based on the Boltzmann probability distribution, and is motivated by an analogy to the change in energy levels of molecules as the temperature is slowly decreased (i.e., time elapses).

$$p = e^{\frac{-\Delta E}{kT}}$$

Example: Simulated Annealing


```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/annealing> anneal arab1.config arab1.names arab1.data arab1.tree
```

45.2363 sec

final accuracy on training set: 88%

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/annealing> cat arab1.tree
```

```
double mainFunction() { return (((length-8.1023)/0.206617)-(if(-1 1.1519) then sig1 else hex+(-10.4093-hex)))); }
```



Accuracy (y-axis) vs. generations (x-axis) of simulated annealing. $K=2.8e-10$, initial temperature=100, final temperature = 1, temperature decay factor = 0.9999.

Feature Selection Methods

- F-ratio : select features exhibiting large $F = MS_{\text{between}} / MS_{\text{within}}$
- PCA : recode problem into principal components
- LDA : recode problem using linear discriminant functions
- Mutual Information (*not yet implemented*)
- Information Gain (*not yet implemented*)
- χ^2 (*not yet implemented*)
- Fisher-exact test (*not yet implemented*)

Example: Feature Selection via F-ratio

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/f-ratio> f-ratio arab1.names arab1.data
```

```
F(length)=114.693
```

```
F(sig1)=477.876
```

```
F(sig2)=259.967
```

```
F(hex)=359.783
```

Example: Feature Selection via Principle Components Analysis

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/PCA> pca arab1.data arab1.names arab1.model 3
```

```
rounded eigenvalues: 2512, 273, 43, 0
```

```
component 0: 4 -0.00701833 -0.0277586 0.0671525 0.997332
```

```
component 1: 4 -0.0193795 0.742358 -0.666521 0.0654039
```

```
component 2: 4 0.114123 -0.663282 -0.73892 0.0320951
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/PCA> apply-components arab1.model arab1.names  
arab1.data arab1.recoded
```

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/PCA> head -5 arab1.data
```

-7.22008	-46.4053	-81.4875	15.5713	1
-7.08321	-56.6218	-65.6119	-15.9614	0
-6.1875	-40.117	-80.3785	-13.286	0
-7.18202	-56.4384	-65.6939	-5.89178	0
-5.51827	-51.3482	-76.2935	-6.37986	1

```
[eaglet] BioMaLL/PCA> head -5 arab1.recoded
```

11.3965	21.0221	90.6683	1
-18.7034	0.791394	84.7175	0
-17.4912	23.0437	84.8696	0
-8.67051	1.6427	84.9684	0
-10.0221	12.4221	89.5986	1

Part III

Sample Data Sets

Distinguishing Exons from Non-Exons

Exons (category 1) were randomly selected from the annotated DNA of a target genome. Non-exons (category 0) were obtained by randomly sampling open reading frames (ORFs) from DNA containing both coding and noncoding segments -- overlap with true exons was not prevented and probably occurred; thus, some non-exons will have characteristics similar to exons. Numbers of true and false exons were roughly equal in all data sets.

Input features:

1. weight matrix score of the first signal (acceptor splice site start-codon)
2. weight matrix score of the second signal (donor splice site or stop-codon)
3. exon length probability (from empirical training distribution of true exons)
4. hexamer score = $\sum \log P(H|\text{coding})/P(H)$ over all hexamers H in the interval

Categories:

- 0 = not an exon
- 1 = an exon

Data sets:

arab1 = arabidopsis thaliana
human1 = homo sapiens
aspergillus1 = aspergillus fumigatus